Agreement on the Conservation of Albatrosses and Petrels

Second Meeting of the Population and Conservation Status Working Group

Punte del Este, Uruguay 7-8 September 2014

Guidelines for translocations of albatrosses and petrels

Judy Jacobs, Tomohiro Deguchi, Lyndon Perriman, Elizabeth Flint, and Helen Gummer

SUMMARY

Translocation of albatross and petrel chicks and rearing them at a new location is a means of facilitating formation of new breeding colonies of Procellariiforms for restoration of extirpated colonies, enhancing total population size and distribution area, and preventing extirpation where threats exist at the original colony site. The strong philopatry in this Order of birds make this kind of conservation action feasible. A translocation of the Short-tailed Albatross (*Phoebastria albatrus*) in Japan (Deguchi *et al.* 2012a, 2014a) provides some guidance for future projects involving surface-nesting albatrosses and petrels. This undertaking requires a long-term effort due to the extended fledging period of these birds and their protracted subadult period. Translocations of a variety of New Zealand Procellarids provide guidance for future projects involving burrow-nesting petrels (Gummer 2013).

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Translocation of albatrosses and petrels should be considered as a conservation tool when populations can be enhanced by moving birds back to sites where they have previously occurred as part of an ecological restoration or as part of an assisted colonization of a new site in response to emerging threats at existing colonies.
2. To maximize the likelihood of success careful consideration must go into site selection, site preparation, numbers and characteristics of birds to be moved, chick care at the new site, and post-translocation monitoring and management.

   Project planning should include assessments of the effects of the action on the source population and on the birds to be moved and also effects to the ecosystem at the translocation site.

Directrices para el traslado de albatros y petreles

El traslado de pichones de albatros y petreles para criarlos en un nuevo sitio es un modo de facilitar la formación de nuevas colonias reproductoras de aves *Procellariiformes* y así restaurar las colonias extirpadas, aumentar el tamaño total de la población y el área de distribución y prevenir la extirpación donde existan amenazas en el sitio original de la colonia. Las técnicas que aprovechan la fuerte filopatría que existe en este orden de aves posibilitan este tipo de acción de conservación para la restauración y colonización asistida.

Un traslado de albatros de cola corta (*Phoebastria albatrus*) efectuado en Japón (Deguchi *et al*. 2012a, 2014a) brinda cierta orientación para futuros proyectos con albatros y petreles que anidan en la superficie del suelo. Tales iniciativas requieren de un esfuerzo a largo plazo, dado que estas aves abandonan el nido después de un largo período y tienen un ciclo subadulto prolongado. Los traslados de una variedad de proceláridos de Nueva Zelandia brindan orientación para los futuros proyectos con petreles que nidifican en madrigueras (Gummer 2013).

RECOMENDACIONES

1. El traslado de albatros y petreles debe considerarse herramienta de conservación cuando sea posible aumentar las poblaciones mediante el traslado de aves hacia sitios donde ya habían estado antes como parte de una restauración ecológica o de la colonización asistida de un nuevo sitio en respuesta a las amenazas emergentes en colonias existentes.
2. A fin de maximizar las probabilidades de éxito, se debe realizar un estudio exhaustivo de la selección y preparación del sitio, las cantidades y características de las aves que se trasladarán, el cuidado de los pichones en el nuevo sitio y el monitoreo y gestión tras el traslado.
3. La planificación del proyecto debe incluir evaluaciones ambientales no sólo sobre los efectos del traslado en la población original y las aves que se trasladarán, sino también sobre el ecosistema del sitio de traslado.

Lignes directrices en matière de transfert d'albatros et de pétrels

Le transfert de poussins d'albatros ou de pétrels et leur élevage sur de nouveaux sites facilitent la formation de nouvelles colonies de reproduction de Procellariiformes, ce qui permet de recréer des colonies disparues, de renforcer la taille des populations ainsi que les zones de répartition et d'éviter ainsi que des espèces ne disparaissent lorsqu'elles sont menacées sur leur site d'origine. Les techniques qui tirent parti de la forte tendance philopatrique des oiseaux de cet Ordre rendent les mesures de conservation efficaces pour restaurer et aider les colonies.

Le transfert de l'albatros à queue courte (*Phoebastria albatrus*) au Japon (Deguchi *et coll* 2012a, 2014a) offre des pistes pour de futurs projets de transfert d'albatros et de pétrels nichant en surface. Ces déplacements nécessitent des efforts à long terme étant donné la longue période d'acquisition du plumage et la période subadulte prolongée de ces oiseaux. Le transfert de plusieurs Procelariidés originaires de Nouvelle-Zélande permet d'orienter les futurs projets ciblant les pétrels nichant dans des terriers (Gummer 2013).

RECOMMANDATIONS

1. Le transfert d'albatros et de pétrels doit être considéré comme un outil de conservation utilisé lorsque la taille des populations peut être augmentée en déplaçant les oiseaux vers leur site d'origine, dans le cadre d'une restauration de l'environnement ou d'une aide à la colonisation d'un nouveau site en réponse à des menaces émergentes dans les colonies existantes.
2. Pour accroître les chances de succès, il convient d'accorder une attention particulière au choix du site, à la préparation du site, au nombre et aux caractéristiques des oiseaux à déplacer, aux soins des poussins dispensés sur le nouveau site, ainsi qu'au suivi et à la gestion post-transfert.
3. Des évaluations environnementales des effets des actions de transfert non seulement sur la population source et sur les oiseaux déplacés, mais aussi sur l'écosystème du nouveau site, doivent être intégrées à la planification du projet.

1. BACKGROUND

1.1. Translocation as a tool for conservation of albatrosses and petrels

Birds in the Order Procellariiformes exhibit strong natal philopatry and high nest-site fidelity. These behavioural traits along with a protracted incubation and fledging period and ground nesting habit result in great vulnerability to both predation by introduced mammals and commercial exploitation by humans at the breeding colonies. Such predation has led to extirpation of many island populations of petrels around the world and magnified the consequences of stochastic events such as hurricanes, volcanic eruptions, epizootics, or fires at the remaining safe breeding sites. As anthropogenic climate change continues to alter landscapes at a rate that exceeds predictions, protecting and enhancing existing populations nesting on low islands has also becomes increasingly compelling (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2012 http://www.fws.gov/home/climatechange/pdf/CCStrategicPlan.pdf). Translocation is the managed movement of live indigenous plants or animals from one location to another. Translocation covers the entire process, including planning, the transfer, release, monitoring and post-release management (up to some predetermined end point) (Gummer 2013)

Seabird conservation practitioners are increasingly considering the transport of eggs or chicks to hand-rear and re-introduce them to former breeding sites or to move them to new sites outside their historic breeding range (assisted colonization), especially in situations where social attraction techniques are not adequate on their own. Guidelines for evaluating the appropriateness of such actions, planning, implementing, and monitoring them were adopted by the IUCN Species Survival Commission in 2012 (http://www.issg.org/pdf/publications/Translocation-Guidelines-2012.pdf). Gummer (2003) reviews the use of chick translocation as a method for establishing surface-nesting colonies of seabirds and highlights some of the differences between establishing colonies by moving surface-nesting species and the more commonly translocated burrow-nesting seabirds.

At least 3 ACAP species, Black Petrel (*Procellaria parkinsoni*) (McHalick 1999, cited in Gummer 2003), Short-tailed Albatross (Deguchi *et al*. 2012a, 2014b), and Chatham Albatross (*Thalassarche eremita*) (Taylor, 2000a; Bell, 2013; https://www.facebook.com/chathamtaikotrust; https://vimeo.com/85167666) have been translocated as chicks to re-introduce or initiate new breeding colonies. Another 3 have been involved in some aspect of translocation procedures or artificial rearing for technique development (Laysan Albatross, *Phoebastria immutabilis*, and Black-footed Albatross, *Phoebastria. nigripes*) or to enhance an existing colony (Northern Royal Albatross (*Diomedea sanfordi*)). Several others have been identified in conservation planning documents as candidates for future re-introductions or assisted colonization including Black-footed Albatross, and Laysan Albatross (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2011), and on the ACAP website suggesting that it might be appropriate to introduce the Spectacled Petrel (*Procellaria conspicillata*) to Amsterdam Island and re-introduce the Tristan Albatross (*Diomedea dabbenena*) to Tristan da Cunha (http://www.acap.aq/national-contacts/view-document-details/225-inf-04).

1.2 Colony establishment in Procellariiforms

While some of the conservative behavioural traits of the tube-nosed birds such as high natal philopatry and nest-site fidelity inhibit the acceptance and colonization of novel breeding sites, the strongly colonial inclinations of some of these species allow some of the standard techniques of social attraction (decoys and broadcast of acoustic stimuli) to be used either alone, in combination with each other or to reinforce active translocation of chicks to a new site. Jones and Kress (2012) reviewed 128 projects done for 47 different species of seabirds in most seabird families. They found that translocation of chicks had been most commonly employed in burrow-nesting species, most of them Procellarids, whereas the family with the most successful assisted colonizations and re-introductions using acoustic and visual stimuli alone were the terns.

Despite their reputation for faithfulness to the natal colony there have been a number of instances of natural recruitment to sites at which albatross nesting had never been previously recorded. Laysan Albatross banded as chicks in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands have established colonies at numerous several sites on Oahu and Kauai several hundred miles to the South in recent years. Both Laysan and Black-footed Albatross have also initiated colonies off the West coast of Mexico (Pitman 1985; Dunlap 1988). Since 2003 a few pairs of Antipodean Albatross (*Diomedea antipodensis*) have started nesting on the Chatham Islands outside their normal breeding range (http://nzbirdsonline.org.nz/species/antipodean-albatross). Once pioneers establish nests at a site the social attractiveness of the colony to other prospecting birds increases.

Key methods used particularly for establishing new colonies of burrow-nesting Procellariids are acoustic attraction, provision of artificial burrows of a design well-suited and attractive to the species, and chick translocation if sound alone fails to lure birds into a site. The majority of burrow-nesting petrels show only nocturnal activity on land, so decoys are not considered to be as useful at night to lure birds to a site, although they are occasionally tried in open settings.

While the challenges of ensuring site imprinting and the long prebreeding period before subsequent return to the translocated colony for Procellarifoms are daunting, if the new colony is distant from the source colony and prospecting birds rarely pass by then the extra expense and difficulty of actual egg or chick translocation may prove necessary to establish a new breeding site.

2. TRANSLOCATION OBJECTIVES AND SITE CRITERIA

2.1 Translocation objectives

Objectives of the translocation action will guide and constrain site selection and source population for the translocation. Restoration or reintroduction projects will likely have fewer unintended consequences than translocation for assisted colonization to novel sites but all efforts should be undertaken only after careful consideration of effects on native species and human activities and with assurance of long-term commitment by stakeholders.

2.2 Site selection criteria

The conservation practitioners have the obligation to ensure the translocation site is safe and under a land management regime that provides protection in perpetuity with a management plan in place. It should be:

- A suitable geographic site with respect to topography, access to the ocean, strength and direction of prevailing winds, climate, features for ease of take-off and landing such as take-off trees for climbing petrels and open runways for albatross, nesting substrate, reasonable distance to adequate foraging grounds, and high enough in elevation to preclude periodic inundation from storm waves;
- free of predators and invasive species harmful to Procellariiforms or be fenced prior to translocations and regularly managed to control those detrimental species. The fenced areas must be large enough to meet the space requirements for the species with regard to take off and landing behaviour;
- surveyed prior to the translocation for the presence of any endemic species (e.g. flora or fauna) that could potentially be disturbed by the project, or that could influence the success of the colony establishment attempt such as another species of burrow nesting seabird at the site;
- a site not likely to be needed for translocation of another population of the same species that is genetically distinct but that may hybridize with the subject population;
- atop a cliff, elevated above surroundings, or relatively free of man-made or natural obstructions to facilitate fledging and arrivals and departures of other conspecifics;
- relatively accessible to biologists, to facilitate delivery of supplies and monitoring;
- a site for which other conflicting uses (e.g. local fishing, aircraft operations, city lights, busy roads, and antennae etc.) have been considered and conflict avoidance measures are feasible.
- For critically endangered species, carefully evaluated with regard to the distance between source and translocation site so that the ability of individuals to encounter conspecifics (e.g. at sea or over land) is not compromised.

If other human activities occur in the vicinity of the selected site, local residents should be informed and educated about the project and their input sought and considered.

2.3. Regulatory compliance

Prior to implementation appropriate permits from all affected jurisdictions should be obtained for capturing and manipulating birds as well as modifying the site. This may include permits from Federal or State level offices. Bio-security regulations must be adhered to at all stages of the translocation operation. Disease testing or screening should be considered and may be required as a condition of the translocation permit. Conditions for captive care of young birds may include permits or a review of appropriate institutional authorities. Protocols should be in place for dealing with sick or injured birds (e.g. veterinary treatment), and dead birds (e.g. necropsy).

3. SITE PREPARATION

3.1. Site preparation

Ideally, the site selected for the translocation should already have substrate and vegetation structure preferred by the species to be translocated. If there are species of plants that create collision hazards or block the wind and cause over-heating by preventing convective cooling they should be removed. For the Chatham Albatross translocation nests simulating their natural sites were constructed of 45 liter planters filled with cement and then peat. For Short-tailed Albatross no structures were used. Because the trials with Laysan and Black-footed Albatrosses had not resulted in any losses or premature fledging even though the colony site was adjacent to a cliff the Short-tailed Albatross team did not think fencing was necessary (Deguchi et al 2012a).

For burrow-nesting species, artificial burrows will need to be installed to accommodate translocated chicks and to provide suitable nesting sites for prospecting adults (see Section 6.1). If there are plants species that will preclude future natural burrow construction or compromise structural stability of those burrows they should be managed as well.

3.2. Social attraction

For projects involving surface-nesters, the recipient site should be prepared with decoys resembling the target species (although perhaps not necessarily as artistic and detailed as the Japanese models (Figure 1c)). For surface- and burrow nesters, it is also vitally important to have a sound system (solar-powered) continuously playing species-specific calls from existing breeding colonies programmed to play at times of the 24 hour cycle appropriate for the target species.

Figure 1a. Sound system speaker on Matiu/Somes Island, NZ. The burrows with rocks on have been visited by prospecting adult Fluttering Shearwaters. Photo: D. Cornick.

Figure 1b. Example of part of the sound system deployed at Mukojima Island to attract Short-tailed Albatross.

Figure 1c. Short-tailed Albatross decoys. Note different decoy poses and plumages.

Figure 1d. Chatham Albatross chicks on artificial nests with adjacent decoys (https://www.facebook.com/chathamtaikotrust)

The decoys and sound system serve two purposes: (1) They provide visual and auditory stimuli to the developing chicks, which may allow them to re-locate the site when they attain breeding age; and (2) The calls and visual cues may attract others of the species to the site from a distance, and at closer range, the visual cues may encourage the birds to land. Juveniles that were not reared at the site but have not yet bred, have the potential to increase the population at the new site if they "decide" to breed there and provide additional population enhancement. A number of Short-tailed Albatross subadults that were not reared at the Mukojima translocation site have visited there, including one female that paired with a translocated male and laid an egg there in 2013 and 2014.

4. TRANSFER GROUP

4.1 Age at translocation

Age of the chick at translocation is an important variable that needs to be optimized to allow chicks the longest time possible with their natural parents for species imprinting, transfer of gut flora, and expert parental care without losing the opportunity for the chicks to imprint on, and increase the probability that they will eventually recruit to the translocation site. Deguchi *et al*. (2014) selected albatross chicks that were an estimated 1 month of age (at the onset of the post-guard stage of chick development). In addition to thermoregulatory and nutritional benefits, we believe that rearing by parent albatrosses for the first month minimizes the chance that the chicks will imprint on humans, and allows transfer of parents' stomach oil (and possibly unknown species-specific micronutrients or antibodies) to the very young chicks.

The decision to move Short-tailed Albatross chicks at immediate post-guard stage was based on the results of Fisher (1971). His experiments with Laysan Albatross indicated that birds moved to a new location just prior to fledging returned as breeders to the site of their hatching, whereas chicks moved (and cross-fostered) at one month of age tended to return to their translocation site for breeding. The selection of the one month age may be conservative, but the extra hand-rearing time must be weighed against the potential of all the effort being in vain if older chicks that are moved end up returning as breeders to their original hatching site. For the largest albatross species with very long pre-fledging periods, older chicks (2-3 months) could potentially be moved.

To evaluate appropriate translocation age an experiment has been initiated by the Chatham Island Taiko Trust. Chatham Albatross chicks were translocated to main Chatham Island in January 2014. Initially, 30 chicks were translocated in January 2014 at followed by an additional 20 chicks eight weeks later to help test approximate age of natal site fidelity and imprinting on the translocated site. All 50 chicks fledged by May 2014 so rates of return for the two treatment groups may help refine knowledge about the optimal time to translocate surface nesting seabird chicks.

Burrow-nesting seabird chicks are thought to gain cues from their surroundings following emergence from the burrow shortly before fledging. Locality imprinting is considered to develop during this emergence period. Chicks that have never ventured outside natal burrows can be successfully translocated to a new colony location. The assumption is that success is optimized if chicks spend the greater proportion of the rearing period with parents before being moved.

Colony sounds and odours may also play a role in chicks imprinting on their natal colony, and may explain why a proportion of translocated fairy prions (*Pachyptila turtur*) that returned did so to the vicinity of their natal burrows from which they had never emerged as chicks rather than the translocation site (Miskelly and Gummer 2013).

All large scale translocations to date have been done using chicks instead of eggs because of the obvious advantages of allowing the natural parents to care for the young as long as feasible. A translocation of Laysan Albatrosses from Kauai to Oahu, Hawaii planned for initiation in 2014 will involve moving eggs that would be lost as part of a bird aircraft strike hazard reduction operation at an airport being used to found a new colony. This technique will present more challenges with concerns about nutrition, transfer of natural gut flora, body temperature control, and species imprinting.

4.2 Number of chicks in each translocation cohort

Factors important in choosing a cohort size for a chick translocation are genetics, rate of growth of the new colony, size of the source colony and the practical limitations of logistical capability and labor to care for the translocated chicks. Since these translocations involve only chicks of long-lived birds it is unlikely that taking any number of the chicks from the parent colony will have an effect on the viability of that source population as it might have if you were moving adult animals.

In the first year of the Short-tailed Albatross translocation work (2008), ten chicks were moved. Based on the amount of time and effort required to raise these chicks, they determined that additional chicks could be reared, so 15 chicks were translocated in each subsequent year of the project (2009-2012).

In the first year of the Chatham Albatross project a total of 50 chicks were transferred but 20 of them were brought 2 months later in the rearing period than the first group of 30.

In the New Zealand burrow nesting work, the recommended number of chicks to transfer to a new site in the first year of a project is generally 50 if the implementation team is new to seabird translocations, or there are anticipated logistical issues to resolve at the release site (Gummer 2013). If the species has never been translocated before, a trial *in situ* transfer of a small number of chicks (e.g. <10) at the source colony may be appropriate to test artificial burrow design and hand-rearing methods, or, if this is not feasible then a preliminary translocation of a small cohort (i.e. <50 chicks) to the release site is considered.

A maximum of 100 chicks of burrow nesting species is considered appropriate to transfer in any subsequent year. A larger cohort size than this could lead to logistical issues, either at the time of chick collection and transfer from the source colony or when managing chicks at the recipient site.

4.3 Number of translocation cohorts

Translocation projects ideally should span several years to increase the genetic heterogeneity of the translocated population, to accelerate the development of a natural population age structure at the new site, to increase the size of the translocation group within the staff capabilities for chick rearing, and to “spread the risk” associated with environmental stochasticity. The Short-tailed Albatross Recovery Team decided to conduct translocations for five consecutive years, the "bare minimum" breeding age of short-tailed albatross. Full project costs and funding options should be carefully considered prior to initiating a translocation project, to avoid premature termination due to lack of funding and in some cases moving larger cohorts over a shorter duration may be preferable.

Transferring a minimum of 200 chicks of burrow-nesting species over a 3−4 year period has now been tested on several projects in New Zealand. With increased confidence in techniques, it is now considered advantageous to move more than this to increase the pool of birds returning to the establishing colony site and the encounter rate of conspecifics, which is thought to be important in encouraging adults to settle there (Gummer 2013). Supplementary translocations in later years may also need to be considered.

5. SELECTING, COLLECTING, AND TRANSFERRING CHICKS OR EGGS

5.1 Selection of individual chicks to be moved

Chicks selected for translocation should of course appear healthy. However, avoid selection of only the boldest chicks, as this could bias sex ratio. The Short-tailed Albatross Team chose less fearful chicks during 2009 and 2010 because in the 2008 cohort the most timid chicks regularly regurgitated throughout the rearing period. This choice criterion resulted in a bias toward males in the sample of this species. Some Royal Albatross chicks also showed this tendency to regurgitate. In the Chatham Albatross translocation the chicks all adjusted to supplementary feeding so selection on the basis of individual boldness was not a consideration. Efforts to maximize representation of different parents from different parts of the source colony in subsequent translocation cohorts will enhance genetic variety of the translocation group and may reduce the likelihood of pair bond disruption that repeated “breeding failure” might cause if chicks from the same parents were chosen more than once.

For burrow-nesting species, only chicks with a high chance of fledging in good condition at the recipient site are taken (unless all known chicks are to be moved). Chicks fledging in optimum condition have an improved chance of surviving and returning as adults. Chicks need to meet species-specific criteria on the day of transfer (Gummer 2013). Setting a transfer wing-length range ensures that only chicks of appropriate age are taken. Setting minimum transfer weights for different wing-length groupings ensures chicks can recover weight lost during transfer and while adapting to the hand-rearing diet, and still fledge in optimum condition. In addition, it is vital that chicks have not emerged at the source colony, not even for a single night. The only two Chatham petrel (*Pterodroma axillaris*) chicks translocated after they were suspected as having emerged for 1 night from natal burrows, were recaptured back at the natal colony as adults (H. Gummer pers. comm.).

5.2 Chick capture and transport

Minimising the risks of overheating and injury in the carrying containers, and stress from unfamiliar stimuli, are major considerations for the chick capture and transport phase. Different species respond differently to being transported, and the consequences of not addressing these issues effectively can be fatal.

Albatross chicks on Torishima were captured when their parents were not present. A soft blanket was placed around the chick and it was carried to a specially designed padded transport container (Figure 2 a,b). During pilot work with Black-footed Albatross chicks in 2007, a much simpler container was used, with no apparent adverse effects (Figure 2 c). However, the sturdy, opaque padded boxes may reduce chick stress (while maintaining sufficient ventilation), especially during transport by helicopter.

Figure 2a and b Specially designed boxes used for Short-tailed Albatross transport. **c** Simpler container used for pilot work with black-footed albatross.

The transfer box design used for most burrow-nesting petrel transfers in New Zealand is based on a standard pet (cat) box (Gummer 2013). There must be enough space and ventilation to avoid overheating issues, and to minimize wing and tail feather damage of the more advanced chicks. Boxes can hold one large chick, two chicks of a small-medium species with a single diagonal divider, three chicks with custom-made interlocking dividers (Fig 2d), or four chicks of a very small species with double diagonal dividers. Boxes also need to be heat-reflective, dark inside to reduce chick stress levels, and have flooring that provides grip and absorption of waste or regurgitant.



Figure 2d. Animal carry box with three compartments used to transfer Pycroft's petrels in New Zealand. Exterior walls are white to reflect heat. Note: use less tape than seen here to fix matting to floor so as to optimise drainage of excrement. Photo: H. Gummer.

Minimizing transit time seemed to produce better results during albatross translocations in Japan. In a 2007 "mini-experiment" with Black-footed Albatross, Deguchi *et al*. (2014a) found that chicks moved to their new site quickly (within 2 hours) grew a bit faster and were slightly heavier at fledging than those that were held for 24 hours prior to release. This supported their decision to adopt the more expensive option of moving Short-tailed Albatross chicks from Torishima to Mukojima by helicopter (a 2-hour trip) rather than by boat (24-hour transit time). Transport mode for other species may vary, depending of the distance from the source to the new colony.

Chicks of burrow-nesting species that are tolerant to being transported tend to be transferred on single dates and have been moved by helicopter, aircraft, boat, and by road in New Zealand (Gummer 2013). However, multiple transfers are preferred for some species if they are logistically feasible and affordable, so that smaller groups of chicks are transferred on any one day, thus reducing the period between chick collection from the natal burrow and installation at the recipient site and avoiding transport during the middle (heat) of the day. This has proved most important for Northern diving petrels (*Pelecanoides urinatrix urinatrix*), a species particularly prone to stress and overheating, transferred in the early austral summer. Multiple transfers have the advantage of more chicks being available that meet transfer criteria if source populations are small, for example multiple transfers of Chatham petrels in any one season result in a larger overall transfer cohort compared to single transfers (Gummer *et al*. 2014a)

6. CHICK CARE AT THE NEW COLONY SITE

6.1 Holding facility and protection

At the release site Short-tailed Albatross chicks were placed on flat ground in a biologically typical spacing for the species 5-10 meters apart. At the age of one month the chicks were not inclined to wander from the artificial nest site on which they were placed. Fisher (1971) found that Laysan Albatross could find their way back to their own nest site after being displaced as far as 75 meters by the age of 2 months. The first group of Chatham Albatross chicks moved at age ? stayed on the artificial nests they were given but the second group transferred 2 months later were much more mobile (Bell, pers. Comm.) During a pilot study in 2006 using Laysan Albatross, a number of chicks were lost or weakened during a period of prolonged torrential rainfall shortly after translocation at a site on Kauai. In other climates, extensive heat may be a concern. Thought should be given to providing some protection from the elements (shade or shelter) especially for young chicks. In extremely hot weather, spraying the birds with a fine mist of water may provide relief and protection from heat-stress. This method has been employed at the Northern Royal Albatross colony at Taiaroa Head, NZ, although used primarily during the guard stage (i.e., adult still with the chick in mid summer).

Safe and secure housing for translocated chicks of burrow-nesting species is provided in the form of artificial burrows. Essential requirements are that burrows are insulated from extreme temperatures, light-proof, water-proof and free-draining, and that chicks can be safely retrieved from all parts of the burrow. Burrows should also be as attractive as possible to prospecting adults, with safe access for monitoring future breeding attempts. In New Zealand, artificial burrows have been designed for use on flat ground in forests (Fig 3) and on sloping ground, e.g. above exposed cliff-tops (Fig 1a) (Gummer 2013). All chicks are blockaded into burrows for at least 2 nights after transfer, as a familiarization process (Gummer 2013). The pattern of blockade removal is influenced by pre-fledging behavior and is species dependent (refer Section 6.4).

Figure 3. Flat-ground burrows (double lid type at the Chatham petrel artificial colony site, Pitt Island (Rangiauria). Photo by H. Gummer.

6.2 Feeding and handling regimen

Feeding of albatross chicks began on the day after release at the new colony site, generally following the protocol outlined in the sections below.

In New Zealand, feeding regimes (meal size, composition, and frequency) for translocated burrow-nesting petrel chicks are tailored based on the biological traits of the species. These different regimes are presented in greater detail in Gummer (2013) and other referenced source documents.

In either case, the objective is to mimic the growth trajectory of wild chicks and produce fledglings with the greatest possible probability of survival. Fledging wing-length and weight should fall within known ranges for the species.

6.2.1 Maintaining sanitary conditions

Maintenance of sanitary conditions is of utmost importance when hand-rearing chicks. Mortality attributed to infection in two Laysan Albatrosses during pilot work in 2006 may have been the result of food handling practices; and, food-poisoning and fungal infection in common diving petrels translocated within New Zealand in 1997−99 was attributed to inadequate husbandry technique (Miskelly and Taylor 2004).

Where perishable food items are used (e.g. albatross diet) they should be kept frozen in generator-powered ice chests (solar models are also available). The daily food supply is thawed in clean seawater 3–5 hours before feeding. With non-perishable diets (e.g. tinned products) and with vitamin/mineral supplements, the contents breakdown and expiry date must always be checked for each batch. Pet food and pureed foods (see below) should be kept on ice for transport to the hand-rearing site. At the site, the chilled containers can be warmed to slightly above ambient temperature to around 30°C which is closer to body temperature, in 50°C water prior to feeding.

The Short-tailed Albatross team used disposable gloves for preparing and administering food, and changed gloves between feeding each chick. Petrel chick-feeding teams in New Zealand consist of separate handlers and feeders, the latter focusing solely on food delivery and hygiene. A portable hand-washing station is always available, and operators regularly use anti-bacterial soap.

Separate feeding equipment should be used for each chick. Where this is less practical (e.g. feeding large numbers of petrel chicks on a daily basis), a meticulous disinfection and rinsing regime must be developed between chicks. In New Zealand, a broad-spectrum surgical disinfecting agent—Chlorhexidine—is used (Gummer *et al.* 2014b).

No feeding equipment should be reused without being sterilized. Albatross equipment was sterilized in 70% ethanol and soaked overnight in soap and chlorine solutions recommended for baby bottles (Miskelly and Gummer 2004), then rinsed and soaked in clean seawater prior to use. Petrel feeding equipment is thoroughly washed in very hot, soapy water, rinsed and soaked overnight in chlorine solution.

The Short-tailed Albatrosses were not fed when it rained heavily because the team could not maintain sufficient hygiene conditions for food and equipment. For burrow-nesting species, it is important to have a good shelter to operate under as some species must be fed daily, and it is preferable to handle birds out of direct sunlight.

6.2.2. Capture and handling

To minimize stress during feeding, noise should be minimized. Approach albatross chicks single-file to minimize visual stimuli. A soft fleece blanket can be used to restrain younger chicks during feeding. Petrel chicks can be safely transported from burrow to feeding station in dark carry-boxes to keep them calm. To avoid spoiling chick plumage and potentially compromising feather water-proofing, handlers must have clean hands or use a towel or disposable gloves. Excessive handling and prolonged restraint should be avoided because these events can induce several harmful effects characterized by neural, hormonal, immune, circulatory, and metabolic disturbances (Deguchi *et al*. 2014b)

Weigh and measure albatross chicks weekly prior to a daily feeding. A platform scale is recommended over a hanging scale for minimizing stress and potential injury to chicks. The body measurements useful for growth comparison with parent-reared chicks include lengths of wing, culmen and tarsus. The importance of obtaining these measurements to monitor growth should be weighed against the stress caused by the measuring process. Short-tailed Albatross chicks were measured once per week (Deguchi *et al*. 2012a.) These authors observed a great deal of variability between the amounts of habituation to handling among the three albatross species with which they worked.

Weight and wing-length are primarily recorded for chicks of burrow-nesting species to help plan meal sizes and schedule burrow blockade removal (Gummer 2013). The last weight and wing-length recorded is also used to assess whether a chick is likely to have fledged successfully or not when it is no longer found in its burrow.

6.2.3 Diet composition

The diet fed to hand-reared albatross chicks should emulate the natural diet when possible and include plenty of variety to account for discrepancies in mineral balance of the diet. It should include supplements to compensate for the effects of freezing and then thawing food in running water or canning the food may have on its nutrient components such as thiamine and Vitamin E. (Chrissy and McGill 1994). Dr. Tomohiro Deguchi and other researchers at the Yamashina Institute for Ornithology formulated a diet for feeding of translocated Short-tailed Albatross chicks. During the first 2–5 days post translocation chicks were given 80–156 g of pureed therapeutic pet food (Prescription Diet a/d™) and 300 ml of lactic Ringer's solution or physiological salt solution, diluted twice with spring water, daily to facilitate recovery from the stress of moving. Thereafter, chicks were fed darkedged-wing flying fish (*Cypselurus hiraii*), Japanese common squid (*Todarodes pacificus*) canned oil sardine, thawed Japanese sardine (*Sardinops melanostictus*)and Pacific krill (*Euphausia pacifica)* in the amounts shown in Table 1. The researchers hypothesized that wax esters in krill would increase water repellency of the chick plumage. These foods were pureed for young chicks (see section 6.2.4).

Table 1. Composition of diet fed to translocated Short-tailed Albatross

% Species fed each year	**2008**	**2009**	**2010**
Flying fish (*C. hiraii*)	51%	42%	22%
Squid (*T.pacificus*)	42%	40%	41%
Canned sardine	5%	---	---
Japanese sardine (*S. melanostictus*)			23%
Krill (*E. pacifica*)	2%	18%	14%

Chicks also received appropriate amounts of vitamin complex tablets (Mazuri Vita-Zu Bird TabTM, Mazuri Auklet VitaminTM) and 300–450 ml liquid containing 95% spring water and 5% clean seawater daily, for promoting development of chicks' salt glands.

The standard diet used in New Zealand for burrow-nesting petrels and shearwaters is a blended puree of Brunswick™ sardines in soy oil (106 g tin with contents: sardines 89%, soy oil 10%, salt <1%), 50 ml water (pre-boiled >3 mins to ensure sterile), and Mazuri® Vita-zu seabird vitamin/mineral supplement (tablet Small 5M25; dose as advised). It is designed to feed chicks for the last third of the rearing phase prior to fledging. Variations to the standard tinned sardine diet successfully used on New Zealand projects include: adding more water (e.g. Northern diving petrels); adding supplementary oils to provide additional energy and hydration (e.g. grey-faced petrels [*Pterodroma macroptera gouldi*]); and, replacing soy oil (from tinned sardines) with a more nutritionally appropriate fish oil (Gummer 2013).

New colony sites are being established in some remote New Zealand offshore island locations, and this was the driver to develop a diet that was practical in terms of transport, storage and preparation for hand-feeding, and suitable for fish, squid and krill-feeding species (Miskelly *et al*. 2009).

6.2.4 Amount of food administered

Short-tailed Albatross chicks have the most rapid growth rate that has been measured among albatross species (Deguchi *et al.* 2012) They were given 300–900 g daily of a mixture of these foods listed in Table 1 according to their daily metabolized energy per unit body mass (840 kJ kg^{-1}) estimated from related species (Hodum and Weathers 2003, Philips *et al.* 2003) and the energy density of each food. For the first 2-4 weeks of feeding, we pureed the food in a food processor to facilitate digestive absorption, adding 1–4 g of Avipro^{TM} probiotic powder to reduce intestinal disorders. Thereafter, chopped or whole food was given. This feeding regime was continued until chicks were about 100 days old (mid-April), when chick body mass was at its maximum. We then gradually reduced the amount of food by 50–66% to reduce chick body mass by 20–30% prior to fledging. Amounts were limited to 100–300 g food and 30–300 ml of liquid every two or three days for 2–3 weeks prior to anticipated fledging.

Chicks of burrow-nesting species that are normally fed on a nightly basis by their parents will need daily feeding following translocation. For chicks that are not fed nightly but at irregular intervals (e.g. gadfly petrels), feeding frequency must be identified for each individual. Chicks can generally be put onto a standard regime that works for the species (e.g. once every 3 days). However, the standard regime will not suit all individuals and some chicks may need to be fed more or less often to allow appropriate weight changes, and avoid the risks associated with over-feeding (e.g. ventriculitis, regurgitation).

Following a gradual introduction to the new diet, over-feeding is avoided by setting a maximum meal size for each chick and a maximum daily weight gain appropriate to the species (Gummer 2013). A chick's response to feeding helps identify when meal sizes should be reduced. Identifying effective minimum meal sizes and a maximum allowable daily weight loss allows a very gradual decline in weight prior to fledging, so that chicks can fledge at optimum weight when they 'feel' ready. Feeding translocated petrel chicks small amounts right up to fledging is common practice, i.e. parental desertion periods do not need to be replicated.

Feeding guides developed for a range of New Zealand petrel species present several meal plans for one species based on chick size and weight at transfer, i.e. meal plans account for the different conditions chicks of the same species arrive in on transfer day (Gummer 2013).

6.2.5 Feeding method

Short-tailed Albatross chicks were always handled by two persons during feeding, one person restraining the chick, the other administering the food or liquids. The pet food and pureed foods were put into individual empty 350 ml caulking gun cartridges and fed to chicks with a caulking gun, through a silicon tube (internal diameter: 9 mm) inserted down the esophagus.(Figure 4a). We used 450 ml syringes (used for lamb nursing) fitted with a silicon tube (internal diameter: 5 mm) to provide liquid (Figure 4b). Chopped and whole foods were also administered wearing gloves (Figure 4c). After a trial of feeding whole squid to chicks in the natal colony without trouble the Chatham Albatross team decided to proceed directly to feeding whole food to the translocated chicks.



Figure 4a. Use of caulk gun for feeding pureed food to young chicks **4b**. Use of lamb-feeding syringe for providing liquids. **4c**. Hand-feeding squid chunks to older chick

Two main syringe/crop-tube systems have been used in New Zealand for the burrow-nesting species: plexiglass syringes (up to 50 ml) with custom-made clear Teflon crop-tubes (c.6 mm outside diameter/3 mm inside diameter) cut to length to suit each species; and disposable catheter-tipped syringes (up to 50 ml) with catheter or other tubing (Gummer 2013, Gummer *et al*. 2014b). Feeding whole pieces of tinned sardine is not recommended for petrels as it is slow and can cause spoiling of plumage.

Figure 4d. Hand-feeding fluttering shearwater chick using plexi-syringe and custom-made Teflon crop tube. Photo: D. Cornick.

6.3 Health monitoring

As well as the physical health check made prior to transfer, a full physical examination should be given when chicks arrive at the release site, and at any point thereafter where there is unexpected or unusual chick behavior or posture.

The Short-tailed Albatross translocation team collected blood samples to determine the sex of translocated individuals, to compare 9 different blood chemistry parameters with the same ones in naturally reared chicks (Deguchi *et al.* 2012a,b), and to characterize the effects of transmitter attachment and handling on hand-reared chicks (Deguchi *et al.*, 2014b). These measures provided insight into health status and body condition of the artificially reared birds indicating better nutritional status in hand-reared birds than those raised by wild parents but evidence of possible muscle damage or capture myopathy in birds handled for transmitter attachment.

All efforts are made to minimize incidences of regurgitation, and to handle chicks in such a way that regurgitant can be projected away from the body. For burrow-nesting species, there may be fatal consequences: soiling of plumage spoiling water-proofing and insulation; possible asphyxiation; and, aspiration of food particles leading to respiratory illness. Burrows are carefully inspected for signs of regurgitation, especially while chicks adjust to a new diet and feeding regime, and to ensure chicks are passing normal faeces and urates.

Other serious health issues that practitioners must be aware of when hand-rearing chicks of burrow-nesting species, include: ventriculitis/proventriculitis (caused by gut stasis or food contamination); aspiration of food (caused by regurgitation or poor feeding technique); and dehydration and heat stress. Appropriate first-aid treatment must be available as chicks can injure themselves during the emergence period, in particular tree-climbing species that engage in practice take-off flights.

Poor plumage condition can be an indication that a chick is not effectively preening; lack of preening behavior may be caused by an underlying illness or parasite loading which may require investigation. Birds can be stimulated to preen by spraying them with water.

6.4 Pre-fledging behavior in burrow-nesting species

Chicks of New Zealand species are not allowed to exit burrows before they have reached the minimum known first emergence wing-length for the species (emerging species), or are just short of the minimum known fledging wing-length (species fledging on the first night outside the burrow). Blockade removal strategies have been developed to ensure that any chicks disappearing from the burrow site prematurely will not perish and still have a good chance of fledging, even if at the lower end of the target fledging weight range for the species. Secondary criteria are species-specific and include weight, wing-growth rates and down coverage (Gummer 2013).

Strategies are necessary because projects rarely have daily access to a trained species detection dog and handler that can find any missing chicks. Lighter chicks that need to be fed daily are at the greatest risk if they can no longer receive meals, and some species are more prone to disappearing than others (e.g. Fluttering Shearwaters [*Puffinus gavia*]; Gummer and Adams 2010).

For critically endangered species, radio-transmitters can be attached to individual chicks of concern, so they can be found for feeding if they fail to return to their burrow before daylight, and removed before predicted fledging date if appropriate (e.g. Chatham Island Taiko [*Pterodroma magentae*]; Gummer 2013, Gummer et al. 2014c).

7. POST-TRANSLOCATION MONITORING AND MANAGEMENT

7.1 Monitoring translocated chicks' post-fledging survival and behavior

Transferring Procellariiform chicks to a new colony site is just the beginning of a long process of colony establishment that depends on survival of the translocated birds, their recruitment to the new colony site, and the social attraction of other pre-breeding individuals that will accelerate the growth of the colony into a viable population.

7.1.1 Satellite telemetry

Deguchi *et al*. (2012, 2014), compared the movements of half the translocated Short-tailed Albatross fledglings each year with those of parent-reared fledglings from Torishima, using Microwave Telemetry 22 g solar powered 106 GPS/Argos PTT-100 satellite transmitters. These devices, which comprised less than 1% of the bird's body mass, acquired six global positioning system (GPS) locations per day, at 2 to 4 hr intervals, and transmitted locations via Argos every 3-days. Tracking devices were either Tesa-taped to the back-feathers (Figure 4) or attached by harness with Teflon ribbon. They recommend the use of satellite telemetry to compare the movements of translocated vs. parent-reared birds for at least the first year or two of the project. The number of subsequent years to continue telemetry depends on available project funding and on weighing the importance of the information to be gained against the potential risks, especially for critically endangered species.

Figure 5. Short-tailed Albatross fledged in 2009 with satellite transmitter observed at sea, October 2009.

One important finding that has recently emerged from Short-tailed Albatross fledgling telemetry work is that all post-fledging mortalities from both Torishima and Mukojima have been females (R. Suryan pers. comm. Table 2).

Table 2. Female biased short-term post-fledging mortality in Short-tailed Albatross fledglings satellite-tagged over 5 year period (Deguchi *et al.* 2014b)

	Hand-reared (Mukojima)		**Naturally-reared (Torishima)**		**Result**
Survival to sustained flight	**87% (27 of 31)**		**84% (26 of 31)**		***p* = 0.40** z^2 *Test*
	♂	♀	♂	♀	
Mortality sex ratio [# tagged]	**0 [n=17]**	**4 [n=14]**	**0 [n=12]**	**5 [n=19]**	***p* = .0064** z^2 *Test*

The cause of this extreme female-biased mortality of SAT-tagged individuals is unknown. It could be that the transmitters comprise a slightly greater percentage of body weight of females at fledging. However, the 22 g transmitters comprised less than 1% of the mass of any of the male or female fledglings. Other possibilities are that females have a greater stress reaction to transmitter attachment or that female fledglings naturally suffer greater post-fledging mortality (Deguchi *et al.* 2014b). This differential mortality that may be related to handling stress may be an argument for avoiding the use of telemetry in translocated populations of highly imperiled species.

7.1.2 Radio-telemetry

Radio telemetry is an alternative to satellite telemetry, particularly for smaller species that require smaller, more light-weight devices. In New Zealand, radio-transmitters have only been attached to a small proportion of translocated chicks of two large gadfly petrel species (Grey-faced Petrels and Chatham Island Taiko) to confirm fledging success from fenced release sites in the first transfer year(s), i.e. to check that chicks were not becoming grounded outside the predator-excluder fence. Devices were taped to either tail or back feathers.

Use of radio-telemetry is considered high risk to chicks, especially if device attachment causes feather loss just prior to fledging. They should be attached as close as possible to the time of first emergence when feathers are nearer to completing growth and chicks are less likely to interfere with them. If the emergence period is lengthy, devices may need to be moved further up the feather shafts, closer to expected fledging date, to ensure they are secure (back or tail attachment) and closer to the bird’s centre of gravity (tail attachment).

7.1.3 Camera surveillance

If the translocation site is inaccessible or cannot be manned once the operation is complete, a camera system that allows remote access by satellite or collects data that can be retrieved later is extremely helpful for documenting the visits of non-SAT-tagged birds to the colony and observing their interactions with other birds. The Short-tailed Albatross project benefitted greatly from the interest of NHK TV Japan. This TV station ingeniously installed satellite-linked video cameras in the decoy area (Figure 5a). These devices have provided a wealth of information about behavior of the birds and identification of non-translocated visitors. We recommend installing some sort of camera system to capture events that may only occur when humans are not present (Figure 5b).

Trail cameras with movement sensors are set up at burrow entrances and used effectively to monitor burrow activity at remote establishing colony sites in New Zealand (e.g. Chatham Petrels; Bell *et al*. 2013).

Figure 6a Satellite-linked video camera inside STAL decoy; **6b** Image captured with video camera of male from 2008 translocation copulating with unmarked female 4 years later.

7.1.4 Burrow monitoring

The pattern of visitation to a natural colony by breeders and non-breeder of the translocated species (influenced by migratory and non-migratory behaviour) needs to be known to ensure the most effective burrow monitoring schedule (Gummer 2013). At all times this must be balanced with minimizing nocturnal disturbance to the colony site as birds of different ages may visit the colony for the first time (as adults) at different times throughout the breeding season. If a species has a low rate of occupying artificial burrows, then searches must be done for natural burrows, which considerably increase monitoring effort.

7.2 Colony maintenance

During the Short-tailed Albatross translocation operations the chicks being reared served as the strongest attractants to other prospecting birds. The presence of human caretakers interferes with this social attraction somewhat but it is still important and a good argument for engaging in several years of chick rearing at the recipient site. After the final translocation, the decoys and sound system must be maintained and employed each year throughout the breeding season to attract both returning translocated birds and prospecting birds that fledged from other sites. Using these stimuli to attract the target species is even more important to ultimate success in the years following completion of the translocations, because: (1) the visual stimulation of the chicks will no longer be present to attract new recruits, and (2) the sounds of a breeding colony may provide essential cues to guide translocated birds back to the new colony site. Observations in the Mukojima colony confirm the intense interest that subadult birds have in the decoys and the speakers actively emitting Short-tailed Albatross vocalizations in 2013 (J. Jacobs pers. comm). Documented visits to the site increased between 2008 and 2009 after the sound system was repaired.

Monitoring for hazards such as introduced mammals and dense or deleterious vegetation or insects must be continued and if anything is detected appropriate management of the threat should be undertaken.

In addition to maintaining the sound system at new colony sites for burrow-nesting species, artificial burrows also need to be maintained on a regular basis to ensure they are safe and attractive to prospecting and breeding birds. Odour may be important in helping to lure prospecting adults to the site, so burrows are not cleaned out but left to fallow. Exposing the inside of used burrows to sunlight after translocated chicks have vacated the colony site is thought to be beneficial.

Some prospecting adults may arrive at the site in between or during chick translocation operations. Avoid using any visited burrows for accommodating chicks; extra burrows may need to be installed.

7.3 Measuring success

Establishment or restoration of colonies of Procellariiforms is a long-term commitment and markers of success must be incremental. These metrics also are necessary for refining protocols. Milestones that can be quantified include:

- Proportion of chicks that survive capture and transfer to new site
- Proportion of chicks that fledge from the colony
- Body condition of fledged chicks
- Annual survival of translocated chicks
- Sex ratio in chick groups translocated
- Proportion of translocated chicks that return to the new colony from which they fledged
- Proportion of the translocated birds that recruit to the new site
- Proportion of translocated birds recaptured or observed at the source population site

- Number of prospecting birds fledged from other colonies that visit the site
- Number of those birds fledged from other sites that recruit to the new colony
- Reproductive performance (hatching success, fledging success) of birds breeding in the new colony
- Natural recruitment of chicks raised completely in the new colony

The translocation of Short-tailed Albatross to Mukojima Island is the first such conservation action for a surface-nesting Procellariiform bird that has been monitored closely enough to measure these values. Deguchi *et al*. (2014) reported that all 70 Short-tailed Albatrosses transported from Torishima to Mukojima over a 5 year period survived the trip and that 69 of the 70 chicks survived to fledging. In the 2014 translocation of 50 Chatham Albatross chicks all birds fledged. Post-fledging survival of Short-tailed Albatross chicks carrying PTTs from colony departure until sustained flight was 85% and not significantly different between hand-reared and naturally reared chicks. Total visits to the breeding colony by translocated birds and birds fledged elsewhere have increased yearly and birds from the first cohort have exhibited reproductive behavior. Between February 2011 andMay 2014, 20 of the 69 fledglings (29%) have returned to visit Mukojima at least once. In late 2012 the first Short-tailed Albatross egg was laid by a naturally reared female paired with a hand-reared bird from the 2008 cohort. A second egg was laid by the female of this pair in late 2013. Neither egg hatched but this reproductive behavior is occurring earlier than expected. Neither bird of this pair has yet developed full adult plumage. A well-studied translocation of another surface nesting seabird, the Audouin's Gull (*Larus audouinii*), to a new colony site in order to enhance the metapopulation of that species was deemed a failure because even though survival rates of the translocated birds were comparable to those of wild birds in the population and there were some social attractants in place at the new site (decoys and non-flighted conspecifics), the neighboring natural colonies proved more attractive to the hand-reared birds and they did not recruit to the release site. The authors attributed this to either the strong attractiveness of adjacent established colonies or some recognition of differences in habitat quality between the new site and established colony areas (Oro, et al 2011). The prognosis for the first completed translocation of a surface nesting Procellariiform bird may be better due to different phylogenetic tendencies in colony establishment behavior between Larids and Diomedeids.

Translocations involving hand-rearing of burrow-nesting Procellariids have been underway in New Zealand since the early 1990s (Bell *et al*. 2005; Miskelly and Taylor 2004). Eight species from four different genera were translocated by 2008 (Miskelly *et al*. 2009) and one more species has been translocated since. Techniques have been developed and established for most of these species to a level where health issues are minimal and all transferred chicks fledge at parameters similar to naturally-raised chicks, or even exceeding the quality of naturally-raised chicks.

One of the most encouraging project results to date is for Chatham Island Taiko; 70% of the 21 chicks transferred over 2007 and 2008 have been recaptured as adults (M. Bell, Chatham Islands Taiko Trust, pers. comm. 2014). Up to 20% of translocated cohorts of Chatham and Pycroft's Petrels translocated in the early-mid 2000s have returned to their respective release sites as adults (H. Gummer and G. Taylor pers. comm.) and establishing populations are now reliant on the very slow recruitment of chicks naturally raised at these sites and of any new immigrants. Supplementary transfers may be considered to boost numbers if deemed necessary. Recruitment of immigrant Fluttering Shearwaters to Maud I. and of chicks raised there is not currently sufficient to sustain colony growth (M. Bell, pers. comm., 2013). Techniques for this species were improved during translocations to Mana I. (2006−2008) and have resulted in some encouraging survival rates (37% of 91 chicks from 2007 recaptured so far as adults), and breeding results on Mana I. (35 chicks fledging in the first 4 years of breeding; H. Gummer pers. comm.).

Supplementary transfers have already been implemented or planned for other species. An additional cohort of Hutton's Shearwaters (*Puffinus huttoni*) was translocated to the establishing colony site coinciding with the return of original translocated cohorts (http://www.huttonsshearwater.org.nz/, viewed August 2013). Miskelly & Gummer (2013) report that 20 of 240 fairy prions transferred by 2004 were recovered at the release site, but 25 translocated birds attracted back to the abundant source population. In addition, there has been a very low level of recruitment of non-translocated birds at the new colony site. Supplementary transfers of fairy prions to Mana Island are scheduled for 2015 and 2016.

Miskelly & Taylor (2004) report that 17% of common diving petrels transferred in the late 1990s were recovered at the release site. However, this project has shown the highest recruitment rate of non-translocated birds compared to all other New Zealand species, with 80 immigrants recorded within 11 years of the first chick translocation (Miskelly *et al*. 2009).

8. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Rob Suryan and all current and past members of the Short-tailed Albatross Recovery Team for their insights and suggestions throughout the translocation process of that species. They acknowledge and thank the New Zealand Department of Conservation, specifically Graeme Taylor for his vast experience in the area of seabird biology and translocations, and Pam Cromarty for supporting the compilation of best practice documents for seabird translocations; and all community groups and personnel involved in funding and implementing translocations within New Zealand.

9. REFERENCES

Bell, M. 2013. Translocation Proposal: Establishing a second colony of Chatham albatross. Unpublished report for Department of Conservation by Chatham Islands Taiko Trust.

Bell, M., Bell, B.D. and E.A. Bell. 2005. Translocation of fluttering shearwater (*Puffinus gavia*) chicks to create a new colony. *Notornis* 52: 11-15

Bell, M., Tuanui, L. and H. Gummer. 2013. Short note: Use of trail cameras to monitor Chatham petrels (*Pterodroma axillaris*) returning to Chatham Island following translocation. *Notornis* 60: 115-116

Chrissy, S. and P. McGill. 1994. Diet and Nutrition. In: Penguin Husbandry Manual. American Association of Zoos and Aquariums. http://nagonline.net/HUSBANDRY/Diets%20pdf/Penguin%20Nutrition.pdf

Deguchi, T., J. Jacobs, T. Harada, L. Perriman, Y. Watanabe, F. Sato, N. Nakamura, K. Ozaki and G. Balogh. 2012a. Translocation and hand-rearing techniques for establishing a colony of threatened albatross. *Bird Conservation International* 22: 66-81

Deguchi, T., Y. Watanabe, R. Suryan, F. Sato, J.Jacobs, and K.Ozaki. 2012b. Effects of hand-rearing and transmitter attachment on blood chemistry of translocated short-tailed albatross chicks. Poster presented at the Fifth International Albatross and Petrel Conference, Wellington, NZ August 12-17, 2012

Deguchi,T., R. M. Suryan, K. Ozaki, J. F. Jacobs, F. Sato, N. Nakamura and G. R. Balogh. 2014a. Translocation and hand-rearing of the short-tailed albatross *Phoebastria albatrus*: early indicators of success for species conservation and island restoration. Oryx 48 195-203.

Deguchi, T., R.M. Suryan, and K. Ozaki. 2014b. Muscle Damage and Behavioral Consequences from Prolonged Handling of Albatross Chicks for Transmitter Attachment. The Journal of Wildlife Management; DOI: 10.1002/jwmg.765.

Dunlap, E.1988. Laysan Albatross nesting in Guadalupe Island, Mexico. *Am. Birds* 42:180-181.

Fisher, H. I. 1971. Experiments on homing in Laysan Albatrosses, *Diomedea immutabilis*. *Condor* 73:389-400.

Gummer, H. 2003. Chick translocation as a method of establishing new surface-nesting seabird colonies: a review. DOC Science Internal Series 150. Department of Conservation, Wellington, New Zealand.

Gummer, H. 2013. Best practice techniques for translocations of burrow-nesting petrels and shearwaters. Produced for ACAP, by Department of Conservation, Wellington, New Zealand.

Gummer, H. and L. Adams. 2010. Translocation techniques for fluttering shearwaters (*Puffinus gavia*): establishing a colony on Mana Island, New Zealand. Department of Conservation, Wellington. 52 pp.

(http://www.doc.govt.nz/upload/documents/conservation/native-animals/birds/mana-island-fluttering-shearwater.pdf)

Gummer, H. G. Taylor and R. Collen. 2014a. Best practice techniques for the translocation of Chatham petrels (*Pterodroma axillaris*), Cook's petrels (*P. cookii*) and Pycroft's petrels (*P. pycrofti*). New Zealand Department of Conservation, Wellington. 83pp.

Gummer, H., G. Taylor and R. Collen. 2014b. Field guidelines for burrow-nesting petrel and shearwater translocation: A companion guide to the seabird translocation best practice documents. New Zealand Department of Conservation, Wellington. 54 pp.

Gummer, H., G. Taylor, R. Collen, T. Ward-Smith and C. Mitchell. 2014c. Best practice techniques for the translocation of grey-faced petrels (*Pterodroma macroptera gouldi*). New Zealand Department of Conservation, Wellington. 94 pp.

Hodum, P.J. and W.W. Weathers. 2003. Energetics of nestling growth and parental effort in Antarctic fulmarine petrels. *J. Exp. Biol.* 206: 2125-2133.

IUCN Species Survival Commission. 2012. IUCN Guidelines for Reintroductions and other Conservation Translocations. Adopted by SSC Steering Committee at Meeting SC456, 5 September 2012. http://www.issg.org/pdf/publications/Translocation-Guidelines-2012.pdf

Jones, H. and S.W. Kress. 2012. A review of the world's active seabird restoration projects. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 76:2-9.

McHalick, O. 1999. Translocation database summary. Threatened Species Occasional Publication 14. Department of Conservation Wellington New Zealand. Cited in Gummer, 2003.

Miskelly, C. and H. Gummer. 2013. Attempts to anchor pelagic fairy prions (Pachyptila turtur) to their release site on Mana Island. *Notornis* 60(1): 29–40

Miskelly, C.M. and H. Gummer. 2004. Third and final transfer of fairy prion (titiwainui) chicks from Takapourewa to Mana Island. Department of Conservation, Wellington, New Zealand.

Miskelly, C.M. and G.A. Taylor. 2004. Establishment of a colony of common diving petrels (*Pelecanoides urinatrix*) by chick transfers and acoustic attraction. *Emu* 104: 205–211

Oro, D., A. Martínez-Abraín, E. Villuendas, B. Sarzo, E. Minguez, J. Carda, and M. Genovart. 2011. Lessons from a failed translocation program with a seabird species: Determinants of success and conservation value. *Biological Conservation* 144: 851-858.

Phillips, R. A., J.A. Green, B. Phalan, J.P. Croxall, and P.J. Butler. 2003. Chick metabolic rate and growth in three species of albatross: a comparative study. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A* 135: 185-193.

Pitman, R.L. 1985. The marine birds of Alijos Rocks, Mexico. *Western Birds* 16:81-92.

Podolsky, RH. 1990. Effectiveness of social stimuli in attracting Laysan Albatross to new potential nesting sites. *Auk* 107:119-125. Title:

Taylor,G.A. 2000a. Action plan for seabird conservation in New Zealand. Part A: Threatened Seabirds. *Threatened Species Occasional Publication 16.* Department of Conservation. Wellington, New Zealand. 234 pp.

Taylor,G.A. 2000b. Action plan for seabird conservation in New Zealand. Part B. Non-Threatened Seabirds. *Threatened Species Occasional Publication 17.* Department of Conservation. Wellington, New Zealand. 199 pp.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2011. Final James Campbell National Wildlife Refuge Comprehensive Conservation Plan. Honolulu, HI. 328 pp. http://www.fws.gov/jamescampbell/Final%20CCP%20files/James%20Campbell%20NWR%20CCP%20(final)%2012-01-11.pdf

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2012. Rising to the Urgent Challenge, Strategic Plan for Responding to Accelerating Climate Change. http://www.fws.gov/home/climatechange/pdf/CCStrategicPlan.pdf