

# Agreement on the Conservation of Albatrosses and Petrels

Interim Secretariat provided by the Australian Government

# First Meeting of Advisory Committee

Hobart, Australia, 20 - 22 July 2005

Agenda Item No .11 ACAP/AC1/Doc.13 Interim Secretariat

Protection of Breeding Sites and status of Non-Native Species

## **Protection of Breeding Sites and status of Non-Native Species**

- 1. The first session of the Meeting of the Parties (MOP1) identified the following priority areas of work related to breeding sites (MOP1 report, paragraph 7.5 (b) and (c)):
  - (i) reporting and review of data relating to the eradication of non-native species injurious to albatrosses and petrels
  - (ii) documentation of information on the management and protection status of breeding sites and identification of internationally important breeding sites
- 2. These priorities are reflected in the Advisory Committee (AC) Work Programme (Annex 2 of Resolution 1.5); principally in task 3. This requires the collation of information on the protection and management status of breeding sites of ACAP listed species, with particular emphasis on the presence and impacts of non-native species. Key objectives under this task are to:
  - (i) develop criteria to identify sites that may require additional protection
  - (ii) prioritise sites for eradication programmes for non-native species
- 3. The identification of internationally important breeding sites is included under task 7 of the AC work programme (Criteria Development) and this issue is considered separately in paper AC1/Inf.1 (agenda item 11). It is worth noting however, that criteria to identify internationally important breeding sites are likely to draw on data collected under both the breeding sites assessment and the review of the status and trends of ACAP species (AC work programme, task 2).

#### **Progress to date**

- 4. The interim Secretariat and Australia developed a draft proforma for breeding sites information which was circulated to the Advisory Committee for comment in mid-April. A finalised proforma (Attachment 1) was circulated in early May with a request for Parties to the Agreement with breeding sites within their area of jurisdiction to complete forms for a sample (up to 5) of breeding islands. Parties were also asked to nominate a national coordinator for the breeding sites forms (see Attachment 2).
- 5. A total of 9 completed forms have been received. A preliminary, coarse-scale analysis of summary information from these returns is included in Attachment 2. All returned forms were fully completed with the exception of maps showing the location of breeding areas and boundaries of protected areas (requested under section 1) which were provided for 2 sites only. In this context one national coordinator commented on concerns about the accuracy with which maps showing the breeding distribution of different species could be produced.
- 6. The completed forms are considered to provide comprehensive baseline information which can be used to underpin the assessment of sites to identify priorities for eradication of non-native species and sites which require additional protection.

#### **Definition of breeding site**

7. Most breeding sites of ACAP listed species of albatrosses and petrels are located on remote offshore islands; and the intention is that one form should be completed for each separate island (i.e. each island within an island group should have its own form). It is noted however that some, particularly larger, islands may support a number of discrete colonies of albatrosses and petrels; and also that some mainland colonies exist (e.g. Southern Giant Petrels on Antarctica). The Advisory Committee may therefore like to consider possible requirements for definitions of breeding site and breeding colony.

#### Timeframe for future work

8. The work programme for the Advisory Committee developed at MOP1 envisaged that the Advisory Committee would be in a position to assess breeding sites at its first meeting (task 3.4). However at present information has been sought from Parties only (ie not Signatories, other Breeding Range States, or other organisations who hold relevant information) and for a sample of sites only. It is recommended therefore that the timeframe for task 3 of the AC work programme is revised and that the assessment of breeding sites is held over to AC2.

#### Information Storage: possible links to Status and Trends Review

- 9. Breeding sites information is currently held as electronic versions of completed forms which could be used to establish a breeding sites register or database.
- 10. Under the review of the Status and Trends (AC work programme task 2) information on breeding population size and other demographic parameters is being collated for ACAP species at breeding sites. Given that breeding proformas only request presence / absence information for ACAP species, there would seem to be some merit in linking stored information on population size to that on breeding sites.

#### **ACTION BY ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

- 10. The Advisory Committee is asked to:
  - (i) consider whether any further amendments are required to the breeding sites proforma (Attachment 1) before Parties and Signatories are asked to complete forms for all remaining breeding sites;
  - in particular to consider possible requirements for definitions of breeding site / colony; and the desirability / feasibility of producing maps showing the breeding distribution of different species for all breeding sites;
  - (iii) consider and agree a revised timeframe for the assessment of breeding sites (AC Work Programme, Task 3);
  - (iv) advise on the development of a breeding sites register / database for the breeding sites information and possible links to site-specific data collected under the status and trends review:
  - (v) consider the merits of establishing a Working Group to oversee the review and assessment of breeding sites.

# **ATTACHMENT 1**

**Breeding sites proforma** 

# AGREEMENT ON THE CONSERVATION OF ALBATROSSES AND PETRELS PROTECTION STATUS OF BREEDING SITES

Please complete one form for each breeding island (including separate forms for each island in an island group) or site. For sites which are infrequently visited and / or knowledge is poor please complete the form as far as possible and indicate where information is not available.

#### 1. Site details

Site name	
Country	
Geographical coordinates	
(central latitude and longitude)	
Area of island / site (hectares)	
•	

Please provide a map of the site showing the location of breeding areas and boundaries of protected areas.

#### 2. Presence of ACAP species

Please indicate by checking boxes for all species present (right click on box, select properties and change default value to checked).

ACAP Annex 1 species	Breeding		
	Confirmed	Formerly bred (>5 years)	Suspected
Diomedea exulans			
Diomedea dabbenena			
Diomedea antipodensis			
Diomedea gibsoni			
Diomedea amsterdamensis			
Diomedea epomophora			
Diomedea sanfordi			
Phoebastria irrorata			
Thalassarche cauta			
Thalassarche steadi			
Thalassarche salvini			
Thalassarche eremita			

ACAP Annex 1 species	Breeding		
	Confirmed	Formerly bred (>5 years)	Suspected
Thalassarche bulleri			
Thalassarche nov. sp. (platei)			
Thalassarche chrysostoma			
Thalassarche melanophrys			
Thalassarche impavida			
Thalassarche carteri			
Thalassarche chlororhynchos			
Phoebetria fusca			
Phoebetria palpebrata			
Macronectes giganteus			
Macronectes halli			
Procellaria aequinoctialis			
Procellaria conspicillata			
Procellaria parkinsoni			
Procellaria westlandica			
Procellaria cinerea			

# 3. Protection status and management

Site designations with
dates
(please list all e.g. national
park, nature reserve, world
heritage area)
Proposed designations
(as above; please indicate
expected date of designation)
Site ownership
(Government, Non
Government Organisation
(please name), private
Management Plan in
place
please indicate dates of
completion and expiry)
URL (if available)
Management Plan in
preparation
(please indicate expected
date of completion)
URL (if available)

Management Authority IUCN protected area category*	
National legislation protecting ACAP species and their breeding sites (please provide name and date of Act/Ordinance and include details of any proposed new legislation)	

\* for further details see:
http://www.unep-wcmc.org/protected\_areas/data/sample/iucn\_cat.htm

#### 4. Land-based threats to albatrosses and petrels

Please complete the following tables (adding new rows if required)

#### 4.1 Non-native species – current

Please complete for each non-native species (adding rows to table as required)

Species	Nature of threat	Current Management Action	Future Management Action
(please include scientific name)	(please describe adverse effects on ACAP species with references to any published research etc.)	(please describe current actions for control / eradication and their effects with references to published research etc.)	(please describe planned future actions for control and indicate the proposed timescale for implementation)

#### 4.2 Non-native species – past

Please complete for each non-native species present in the past

Species (please include scientific name)	Nature of threat (please describe adverse effects on ACAP species with references to any published research etc.)	Management Action (please describe actions taken to eradicate threat with references to published research etc.)	Eradication date

#### 4.3 Other current threats to albatrosses and petrels

Please complete for any other current threats e.g. native species, disease, habitat loss or change

Threat	Nature of threat (please describe adverse effects on ACAP species with references to any published research etc.)	Management Action (please describe current actions and their effects with references to published research etc.)
		,

## 4.4 Other past land-based threats to albatrosses and petrels

Please complete for past threats e.g. extreme weather events

Threat	Nature of threat (please describe adverse effects on ACAP species with references to any published research etc.)	Management Action (please describe current actions and their effects with references to published research etc.)	Is this threat likely to recur (please give reasons for yes or no answer)

# 4.5 Measures to prevent introduction of non-native species / disease and disturbance of breeding albatrosses and petrels

Please list measures (e.g. quarantine procedures, restrictions on poultry products, permit requirements, maximum party size for tourists ) and indicate whether they are adopted or proposed

Measure	Proposed / adopted

# 5. Human presence

# **5.1 Present numbers**

Please indicate approximate numbers of people present on site during summer and winter

Presence category	Summer (numbers)	Winter (numbers)
Inhabitants		
(Local people)		
Scientific / support		
Tourism		
Other (please explain)		
5.2 Past numbers  Please briefly describe pasignificantly different from	ast situations of human occ the above	cupation/visitation if
5.2 Presence of research Please indicate the presearch are occupied all year or s	nce of field stations and/o	r huts and whether these

6. Contact details for compiler of form
Name:
Organisation / affiliation:
E-mail address:
Postal Address:

Please return form to ACAP Interim Secretariat by:

- e-mail (preferred): Helen.Riley@aad.gov.auFax: +61 3 6233 5497
- Post: ACAP Interim Secretariat , GPO Box 824, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia

# **Attachment 2**

# **ACAP Parties: National Coordinators for Breeding Sites Forms**

Party	National coordinator for breeding sites							
Australia	Barry Baker Australian Antarctic Division							
Ecuador	To be advised							
New Zealand	Leigh Bull Department of Conservation							
Peru	Not a breeding range state							
South Africa	John Cooper University of Cape Town							
Spain	Not a breeding range state							
United Kingdom	Mark Tasker Joint Nature Conservation Committee							

#### Attachment 3

#### PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS OF BREEDING SITE FORMS

The following coarse-scale analysis is based on returns from nine albatross and petrel breeding sites summarised in table 1.

The sites vary in size from 710 to 50,990 hectares and support between one and nine ACAP listed species.

Non-native species of animal were formerly present on eight of the nine sites. Currently five sites still have one or more non-native mammal species and eradication feasibility studies are underway on three sites. On one further site there is no evidence of adverse effects of the non-native species (house mouse) but consideration is being given to funding an eradication study; and on the final site the cost of eradication of the species present (also the house mouse) over large areas is considered prohibitive based on current methods.

All sites have a management plan in place and are designated as protected areas under national legislation. Seven sites are also designated under international conventions and the remaining two sites will shortly be nominated for international designations.

No sites are inhabited. All except one have a research station or hut(s).

Tourism is permitted on four of the nine sites.

All sites have quarantine procedures in place to prevent future introductions of non-native species.

On two sites, northern giant petrels are listed as a threat to other ACAP species (this information is not shown in the table).

Table 1: Preliminary analysis of a sample of breeding site forms

Site	Country	Area (ha)	No. ACAP species	Non-native animal species present	No. of non-native mammal species (excludes humans)	Eradication feasibility study underway	Eradication programme underway	Non-native animal species formerly present	Management plan in place	National protected area designation	International protected area	Inhabited	Research station	Tourism permitted	Ouarantine procedures in place	Notes
Macquarie Island	Australia	12,785	7	Υ	3	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	
Antipodes Island	New Zealand	2,025	7	Υ	1	N	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	N	Υ	1
Auckland Island	New Zealand	50,990	5	Υ	3	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	1
Campbell Island	New Zealand	11,216	8	N		N	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	
Enderby Island	New Zealand	710	4	N		N	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	
Main Snares Island	New Zealand	280	1	N		N	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	N	Υ	
Marion Island	South Africa	29,000	8	Υ	1	N	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	N	Υ	N	Υ	2
Prince Edward Island	South Africa	4,500	9	N		N	N	N	Υ	Υ	Ν	N	N	Ν	Υ	3
Gough Island	United Kingdom	6,500	5	Υ	1	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	N	Υ	

#### Notes:

Y= yes, N= no

<sup>1</sup> Cost of eradication of house mouse considered prohibitive over large areas using current methods
2 No evidence of adverse effects of the non-native species (house mouse) on ACAP species; consideration being given to funding an eradication feasibility study. Site to be nominated for Ramsar and World Heritage Area status.

<sup>3</sup> Three species of alien plants recorded but no known adverse effects on ACAP species. Site to be nominated for Ramsar and World Heritage Area status.