

Agreement on the Conservation of Albatrosses and Petrels

Fourth Meeting of Advisory Committee

Cape Town, South Africa, 22 - 25 August 2008

Advisory Committee's Report to MoP3

Author: Chair, Advisory Committee

ADVISORY COMMITTEE'S REPORT TO THE THIRD SESSION OF THE MEETING OF PARTIES (MoP3) TO THE AGREEMENT ON THE CONSERVATION OF ALBATROSSES AND PETRELS

This report to MoP3 on the implementation of the Advisory Committee Work Programme will be prepared by the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Advisory Committee after the conclusion of the Fourth Meeting of the ACAP Advisory Committee (AC4).

Hence, the report will reflect the actions and decisions taken during AC4. The progress with implementing the work programme as reported to the 2nd Meeting of Parties in 2006 is shown in Table 1.

The document will be circulated intersessionally among the members of the Advisory Committee for review and approval prior to MoP3.

Table 1. Progress with implementing the work programme as reported to the 2nd Meeting of Parties in 2006. The information contained in the table will be updated after the Fourth Meeting of the Advisory Committee.

Activity	Timetable	Completed?	Comment
1. Taxonomy Review 1.1 Establishment of a working group to review the taxonomy of albatrosses (and petrels)	By Jan 2005	Yes	
1.2 Development of terms of reference	By Nov 2004	Yes	
1.3 Develop draft report	By July 2005	Partially	First report and further work programme agreed in June 2006
2. Review of Status and Trends			
2.1 Establishment of a working group to review the status and trends of species in ACAP Annex 1	By March 2005	Yes	
2.2 Development of terms of reference	By Nov 2004	Yes	
2.3 Development of data proforma and database format	By August 2005	Yes	
2.4 Identify national coordinators to compile and submit data	By August 2005	Partially	Some Parties still to identify national coordinators

Activity	Timetable	Completed?	Comment
2.5 Data collation and submission	By Sept. 2005	Partially	As above, and not complete from other Parties/Range States
2.6 Populate database	Prior to 1st AC meeting	Partially	
2.7 Undertake initial gap analysis	Prior to 1st AC meeting	No	Insufficient data available at present
2.8 Population data collection	2006/07	Work continues	
3. Protection of Breeding Sites and3.1 Development of data proformaand database format	d Status on Non-N June 2005	ative Species Yes	
3.1 (a) Establishment of Breeding Sites Working Group	June 2005	Yes	AC1 considered that this Working Group was required
3.2 Identify national coordinators to compile and submit information	July 2005	Partially	Some Parties still to identify national coordinators
3.3 Data submission	Prior to 1st AC meeting	Partially	As above, and not complete from other Parties/Range States
3.4 Assess breeding sites	At 1st AC meeting	No	Insufficient data available at present
3.4.1 Identify breeding sites that may require additional protection	At 1st AC meeting		
3.4.2 Prioritise sites for eradication programmes	At 1st AC meeting		
3.4.3 Agree upon criteria for identification of sites that may require additional protection	At 1st AC meeting		
3.5 Identify additional breeding sites requiring protection		No	
3.6 Undertake protection measures for breeding sites	2006/07	Work continues	
4. Foraging Ranges and Overlap w			
4.1 Accessing existing remote tracking data	Yes		Work undertaken by BirdLife International; some datasets not
4.2 Additional data sources	No		released by researchers Additional data sources known, but no progress on including this information

Activity	Timetable	Completed?	Comment
4.3 Continued data collection	Yes		BirdLife International continues to add data to the Global Procellariform Database
4.4 Access fishing effort data	Year 1	Partially	Most effort data available on web
4.5 Convene workshop	Year 2	No	Decision taken to approach each RFMO individually rather than inviting them to a collective workshop. Individual reports on overlap of fisheries and ACAP species being drafted for each RFMO.
4.5.1 Produce Workshop Report	By Year 3	No	
4.5 (a) Establishment of a Seabird Bycatch Working Group	·		Given need to co-ordinate efforts by Parties at RFMOs, AC2 decided to establish a new Working Group.
5. Assessment of Impacts of Thre	ats		
5.1 Access bycatch data	Prior to 2nd AC meeting	No	Remit given to Seabird Bycatch Working Group
5.2 Plan a workshop5.2.1 Conduct a workshop	Year 3		
6. Mitigation of Threats 6.1 Review of programmes that address identified priority threats.	Year 1	No	
6.2 Identify and promote adoption of current best practices	Start Year 2	No	
6.3 Develop fishery-specific mitigation measures	Start Year 3		Remit given to Seabird Bycatch Working Group
7. Criteria Development 7.1 Identification of Internationally Important Breeding Sites	For 1st AC meeting.	Yes	Paper produced by IASOS; follow up paper delayed due to external factors
7.2 Addition of species to Annex 1	Ву АСЗ	Partially	First paper produced by South Africa and Australia, discussed at AC2, revised version to be prepared for AC3

Activity	Timetable	Completed?	Comment
8. Cross-Cutting Themes 8.1 Capacity Building	Ongoing	Work continues	
8.2 Education and Information Programmes	By Year 2	No	News section created on ACAP web site
9. Reporting for MOP9.1 System of indicators	For MOP2	Partially	Consideration occurred at AC2, but insufficient data exists to evaluate indicators
9.2 Additional reporting items	For MOP2	Yes	